

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,712	02/27/2004	B. Raghava Reddy	HES 2001-IP-003428U1C1	9638	
28857 .	7590 07/06/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
CRAIG W. RODDY HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES			RICHARD, C	RICHARD, CHARLES R	
P.O. BOX 1431			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DUNCAN, OK 73536-0440			1712		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 4 4 0	10/789,712	REDDY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. R. Richard	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	Idress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 December 2004.					
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· -					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-123 is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-35 and 43-112</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.∖						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>36-42 and 113-123</u> are subject to r	restriction and/or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers	, · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		· .				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·				

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to Applicant's reply of December 16, 2004 which was to a previous restriction requirement. Applicant elected the claims of group III (claims 36-42) without traverse and added new claims 113-123. The addition of these new claims makes further restriction proper as explained below; Applicant's attorney declined to make an election by telephone when contacted by the Examiner regarding this second restriction. Note that Applicant may not now traverse the earlier restriction requirement, as there was no timely traversal to it. Group I in the present restriction requirement corresponds to group III in the previous one, while groups II to V in the present requirement do not correspond to any of those of the previous requirement as they are concerned only with the new claims.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 36-42, drawn to a method of making a lightweight well treatment fluid, classified in class 507, subclass 202.
- II. Claims 113, 115-116 and 120-123, drawn to a method of treating a subterranean formation, classified in class 166, subclass 309. Note that claim 120 depends from itself.
- III. Claim 114, drawn to a method of reducing the permeability of a formation, classified in class 166, subclass 285.

Art Unit: 1712

IV. Claims 117-118, drawn to a method of recovering spent acid from a formation, classified in class 166, subclass 307.

- V. Claim 119, drawn to a method of drilling a well bore, classified in class 507, subclass 102.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Invention I is not disclosed as capable of being used with II-V, and I has a different mode of operation from II-V; in particular, Invention I has a mixing step while II-V do not – a step of providing a mixture is different.

Inventions V and II-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Invention V is not disclosed as capable of being used with II-IV, and V has a different mode of operation from II-IV; in particular, Invention V involves drilling a well bore, while II-IV involve well treatment. Note that well boring and well treatment are different.

Inventions II and III-IV are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as

Art Unit: 1712

claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require reduction of formation permeability or recovery of spent acid as the combination could represent some other well treatment process like fracturing. The subcombinations have separate utility such as a permeability reduction or acid recovery (sub)process.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Invention III is not disclosed as capable of being used with IV, and III has a different mode of operation from IV; in particular, Invention III involves permeability reduction, while IV involves spent acid recovery.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Telephone calls were made to Applicant's attorneys, Carey Jordan and Thomas Morrow on June 24 and 28, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,712

Art Unit: 1712

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to C. R. Richard whose telephone number is 571-272-

8502. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mhichaed

PHILIP TUCKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1712

Page 5